

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MUHAMMAD AKBAR SR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

KAREN RAY SMITH, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:23-cv-01326-DJC-CKD PS

ORDER

Plaintiff, proceeding without counsel, filed a complaint and a motion to proceed in forma pauperis on July 6, 2023. (ECF Nos. 1, 2.) This matter is before the undersigned pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21). See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). For the following reasons, however, the court will transfer this action to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

If a court determines the appropriate venue for a case lies in another division or district, the court “shall dismiss, or if it be in the interest of justice, transfer such case to any district or division in which it could have been brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). The general federal venue statute provides that a civil action “may be brought in (1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located; (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated; or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial

1 district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such  
2 action." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

3 Here, the address set forth for each named defendant is in Los Angeles, California, which  
4 is in the Central District of California.<sup>1</sup> In addition, the complaint's allegations involve events  
5 that took place in Los Angeles, California. The complaint's allegations do not establish that a  
6 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this district. 28  
7 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2). Thus, venue does not properly lie in this district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

8 Under 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a), a district court may "transfer a case brought in the wrong  
9 division or district" if it is "in the interest of justice" to do so. If a district court denies such a  
10 transfer in its discretion, then the court must dismiss the suit. 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). Transfer is  
11 generally preferred over dismissal where the plaintiff made an honest mistake as to where the suit  
12 could have been brought. E.g., Ali v. Carnegie Inst. of Washington, 967 F. Supp. 2d 1367, 1391  
13 (D. Or. 2013), aff'd, 684 F. App'x 985 (Fed. Cir. 2017). Dismissal is appropriate when the case  
14 was deliberately filed in the wrong court through forum shopping, Wood, 705 F.2d at 1523, or if  
15 it is clear the complaint could not be amended to state a cognizable claim, see generally Lemon v.  
16 Kramer, 270 F.Supp.3d 125, 140 (D. D.C. 2017).

17 There is no indication that plaintiff deliberately filed this case in the wrong court, and the  
18 court is unable to conclude that there are no circumstances under which plaintiff can state a  
19 cognizable claim. The court will therefore transfer this action to the Central District of California  
20 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). In transferring this action, this court expresses no opinion  
21 regarding the merits of plaintiff's complaint. Plaintiff is instructed to direct any further filings or  
22 inquiries related to this case to the United States District Court for the Central District of  
23 California. Further documents filed in the Eastern District of California related to this case will be  
24 disregarded.

25 /////

26 /////

27  
28 

---


<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff's address of record is also in Los Angeles, California.

1 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. This action, including the pending motion to proceed in forma pauperis, is  
3 TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Central District of  
4 California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

5 2. The Clerk of the Court shall close this case.

6 Dated: July 14, 2023

7   
8 CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 8  
11 Akbar23cv1326.ven  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28